

Claim 16 has been rejected for a lack of antecedent basis for "said mini sheet piece." Claim 16 recites a wrapping body with the features of claim 15 and a folded mini sheet piece. A "mini sheet piece" is only recited in claim 11. Therefore, claim 16 has been amended to depend from claim 11 and to incorporate the wrapping body features of claim 15. Therefore, claim 16, as amended, has an antecedent basis for "said mini sheet piece." Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the rejection to claim 16.

B. Rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e)

Claims 1, 2, 5-7, and 9-11 have been rejected as being anticipated by McFall et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,183,587).

Claim 5 has been canceled rendering its rejection moot.

Claim 1 has been amended to recite a first absorbent body and a second absorbent body enclosed in surface side and back side sheets bonded together. The recited formulas (in particular, bulkiness and width) define a relationship that allows the absorptive capacity of the flat pad to be maximized without degrading wearability. McFall does not disclose first and second absorbent bodies enclosed together between bonded surface side and back side sheets. Therefore, McFall does not anticipate claim 1, and withdrawal of the rejection is requested.

Claims 2, 6, 7, and 9-11 depend directly or indirectly from claim 1 and are novel for at least the same reasons as claim 1. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the rejection.

C. Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

Claims 1-5 and 9-13 have been rejected as being obvious over Johnson et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,595,392), and claims 14 and 15 as being obvious over Johnson in view of Farris et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,131,736).

Claim 1 has been amended to recite a first absorbent body and a second absorbent body enclosed in surface side and back side sheets bonded together. Neither Johnson nor Farris, alone or in combination, suggest first and second absorbent bodies enclosed in bonded surface side and back side sheets. Therefore, amended claim 1 is nonobvious over the prior art of record and withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

D. Obviousness-Type Double Patenting Rejection

Applicants respectfully request that Applicants be given the opportunity to file a terminal disclaimer when all the pending claims are deemed to be allowable except for this rejection.

CONCLUSION

7

Application No. 10/705,780
Amendment dated January 27, 2006
Reply to Office Action of July 27, 2005

Docket No.: 20050/0200474-US0

Examiner's Amendment, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number indicated below.

Dated: January 27, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

By 
Thomas J. Bean

Registration No.: 44,528
DARBY & DARBY P.C.
P.O. Box 5257
New York, New York 10150-5257
(212) 527-7700
(212) 527-7701 (Fax)
Attorneys/Agents For Applicant